



RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE AGENDA
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW

MINUTES:

PRESENT: COUNCILMEMBERS WEEKLY and MACK

Also Present: DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED, CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT, DON SCHMEISER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT, CITY CLERK BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS and ASSISTANT DEPUTY CITY CLERK VICKY DARLING

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(10:02)

1-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-133 – Annexation No. A-0017-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Quadrel Street, approximately 170 feet north of Hickam Avenue; Petitioned By: John and Lorinda Fowler; Acreage: 0.63 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Quadrel Street, approximately 170 feet north of Hickam Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-133 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-133 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 1 – Bill No. 2001-133

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED advised that this bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:03)

1-7

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-135 – Annexation No. A-0050-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Ahey Road, 280 feet west of Buffalo Drive; Petitioned By: Nevada Homes Group; Acreage: 2.63 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Ahey Road, 280 feet west of Buffalo Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: The proposed use for this property is a sixteen unit single-family detached villa retirement community.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-135 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-135 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 2 – Bill No. 2001-135

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED indicated that this bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:03)

1-27

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-139 – Amends the Town Center Development Standards Manual as it relates to residential development. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On November 7, 2001, the Council adopted a revised version of the Town Center Development Standards Manual by means of Bill No. 2001-100. As originally introduced, the revision included changes relating to both commercial and residential development, but the Council voted to adopt only the changes related to commercial development and to have the residential changes brought back later to respond to concerns raised by the development community. The residential elements were presented to the Council on December 5, 2001, and are now brought back in ordinance form for formal adoption.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2001-139
2. Incorporated Amendments to the Town Center Development Standards Manual

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-139 be forwarded as a First Amendment to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 3 – Bill No. 2001-139

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED stated that this bill is mostly consistent with the concept substantially approved by the Council 12/5/2001. However, he and CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT, Deputy Director of Planning & Development, recommended that an amendment be made that in the event of any conflict between these residential standards and the commercial standards previously adopted, these residential standards would prevail. Further, he recommended that the Director of Planning & Development be given the authority to reconcile conflicts arising out of any ambiguity.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY discussed with MR. KNIGHT that the areas of concern raised by individuals at the 12/5/2001 Council meeting are continuing to be addressed and it is anticipated that there will be a resolution prior to final Council action.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked MR. KNIGHT for his hard work and expressed appreciation and support for the amendment. He directed MR. KNIGHT to continue to work with the Homebuilders Association regarding the remaining wordsmithing left to be done before final adoption.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:04)

1-45

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-143 – Adopts special sign standards applicable to a portion of the Downtown Casino Overlay District. Sponsored by: Councilmen Lawrence Weekly and Gary Reese

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt sign standards and procedures for a special sub-district within the Downtown Casino Overlay District. The proposed sub-district includes the area bounded by Ogden Avenue on the north, Las Vegas Boulevard on the east, Carson Avenue on the south, and Main Street on the west. The standards and procedures will both allow and encourage neon and animated signage consistent with the City's plans for the area.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-143

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-143 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 4 – Bill No. 2001-143

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT, Deputy Director of Planning & Development, advised that this action arose out of a need to make sure that the signage for the Neonopolis project fit the goals for the area and was expanded beyond Neonopolis by way of creating a sign district. Creating this type of amenity is out of the box and assists with a vibrant downtown. The Neonopolis project's master sign plan was approved by the Planning Commission and will be heard shortly by the City Council. This bill does not in any way impede that project. Planning staff is also working with Office of Business Development in a separate effort to look at an entertainment district east of Las Vegas Boulevard.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:04 – 10:09)

1-152

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-145 – Increases the compensation payable to members of the Planning Commission as authorized by State law. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$4,400

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Planning & Dev./Curr. Planning

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

During this year's session, the Nevada Legislature adopted a bill authorizing local governments to increase from \$50 to \$80 per meeting the amount of compensation payable to planning commissioners. This bill will implement that change for the City's Planning Commissioners.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-145

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-145 be forwarded as a First Amendment to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 5 – Bill No. 2001-145

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED indicated that this bill is consistent with the State legislative change which increased the relative compensation from \$50 per meeting to \$80 per meeting. That raise was actually instituted in October 2001 for the Planning Commission and he recommended an amendment to the bill including a provision changing the compensation effective October 2001.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:09 – 10:11)

1-227

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-130 – Annexation No. A-0025-00(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Azure Drive and Tenaya Way; Petitioned By: Serene Investments; Acreage: 1.46 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (R) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Azure Drive and Tenaya Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-130 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-130 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 6 – Bill No. 2001-130

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED stated that this bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:11)

1-270

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-131 – Annexation No. A-0006-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Brooks Avenue, approximately 100 feet west of Jones Boulevard; Petitioned By: Kenneth and Kathryn Cypert; Acreage: 1.12 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Brooks Avenue, approximately 100 feet west of Jones Boulevard. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-131 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-131 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 7 – Bill No. 2001-131

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED advised that this bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:11)

1-284

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-132 – Annexation No. A-0016-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Rio Vista Street, approximately 210 feet south of Azure Drive; Petitioned By: Nannette Prlina; Acreage: 0.52 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Rio Vista Street, approximately 210 feet south of Azure Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-132 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-132 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 8 – Bill No. 2001-132

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED indicated that this bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:12)

1-299

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-134 – Annexation No. A-0048-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Hickam Avenue and Juliano Road; Petitioned By: Fiona Roberts and Carl Pappalardo; Acreage: 2.58 acres; Zoned: R-E (ROI to RNP1) (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Hickam Avenue and Juliano Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-134 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-134 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 9 – Bill No. 2001-134

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED stated that this bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:12)

1-314

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-136 – Annexation No. A-0053-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Farm Road, approximately 670 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned By: Mark Sharp, et al.; Acreage: 5.07 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Farm Road, approximately 670 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-136 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-136 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 10 – Bill No. 2001-136

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED advised that this bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:13)

1-328

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-137 – Annexation No. A-0055-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Deer Springs Way, approximately 660 feet west of Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: Reginald and Alfrieda Scott; Acreage: 5.05 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L-TC) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Deer Springs Way, approximately 660 feet west of Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-137 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-137 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 11 – Bill No. 2001-137

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED indicated that this bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:13)

1-342

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-138 – Annexation No. A-0064-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 660 feet east of Durango Drive; Petitioned By: A & A Mountanos 1999 Revocable Living Trust; Acreage: 2.66 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (ML) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 660 feet east of Durango Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-138 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-138 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. **COUNCILMAN WEEKLY** concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 12 – Bill No. 2001-138

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED stated that this bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:13)

1-359

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-140 – Conforms the City's campaign contribution and campaign expenditure reporting requirements to those of State law. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Municipal Code's definitions and treatment of campaign contribution and campaign expenditures have differed from those described in the Nevada Revised Statutes. This bill will amend the Code to make the definitions and reporting requirements consistent with those of State law.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-140

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended abeyance to 1/14/2002. **COUNCILMAN WEEKLY** concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 13 – Bill No. 2001-140

MINUTES – Continued:

CITY CLERK BARBARA JO “RONI” RONEMUS advised that this bill deals with Las Vegas Municipal Code 2.518 and corresponds with Nevada Revised Statutes 294A for contributions and expenses reporting. During the last session of the legislature, NRS 294A was amended in various locations. That has just been obtained from the State website. She requested the bill be abeyed to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee in order to have an opportunity to meet with the bill sponsor and the City Attorney’s office to ensure that the bill does exactly what is intended in accordance with state law.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY confirmed with CITY CLERK RONEMUS that this will in no way impact the report due 1/15/2002.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:14)

1-374

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-141 – Adopts the Transportation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the Transportation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. It will replace and supersede any corresponding element that has been carried over from the City's General Plan adopted in 1992.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2001-141 (including incorporated documents)
2. Incorporated Master Plan Transportation Trails Element

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-141 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 14 – Bill No. 2001-141

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT, Deputy Director of Planning & Development, indicated that staff has been working on the trails plan for approximately two years. Trails planning is typically done from transportation planning rather than recreational planning. Also, funding is only available for transportation trails. As a result of state legislative action in the last session regarding the City's responsibility for recreational trails and the funding issue, staff broke the trails plan into two portions. This bill involves those trails which are multi-use and designated as transportation trails for bikers, walkers, hikers and joggers. This designation does not preclude recreational uses, but the uses are viewed as an alternative transportation mode to the street system. This does establish a framework from which to develop trails and impose requirements upon subdivisions.

ED DODRILL, Southern Nevada Regional Trails Committee and President of Las Vegas Equestrian Events, 7795 N. Torrey Pines, stated that the division of the trails eliminated equestrian uses from most of the trails which have been being worked on over the last two years. Effort should be made to increase access for equestrian uses. It is important to maintain the links or connections to existing equestrian trails.

ELLIS GREEN, 5024 N. Cimarron Road, explained that both plans are well done, but his comments will be made under the next item even though many of the points may refer back to this plan.

COUNCILMAN MACK stressed that the City would like to combine the equestrian use into the transportation element, but that would preclude any type of federal funds. It is anticipated that the annexation interlocal agreement currently being worked on would enable the City and County to develop a seamless master plan that incorporates equestrian trails and helps to preserve the rural, western lifestyle in the Northwest. He encouraged support of the annexation interlocal agreement at the County. He commended MR. SCHMEISER on his work on these plans, both when he was employed with North Las Vegas and since he came to the City.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:15 – 10:23)

1-421

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-142 – Adopts the Recreation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the Recreation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. It will replace and supersede any corresponding element that has been carried over from the City's General Plan adopted in 1992.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2001-142
2. Incorporated Master Plan Recreation Trails Element

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-142 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 15 – Bill No. 2001-142

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT, Deputy Director of Planning & Development, concurred that the preference would have been to have a unified trails plan, but the requirements imposed by state statutes makes that impossible. Recreational trails must be owned and maintained by the City and those trails have been separated from the transportation trails. Recreational trails include the equestrian trails and the historic trail that runs through West Las Vegas that the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) is working on. The direction on this planning effort came from the City Council on 10/3/2001 when subdivisions came forward, which required trails dedication. At that time, the Council adopted the map found in the Recreational Trails element.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY discussed with MR. KNIGHT that the historic pioneer trail will not be prohibited, but will raise the question of who owns and maintains the trail project. The route is currently projected along existing sidewalks, which the City already maintains. The maintenance and ownership of the plaques at the informative stations will need to be addressed with the RTC.

ELLIS GREEN, Vice President of Southern Nevada Regional Trails Partnership and Trails Committee Chairman for the Horse Council of Nevada, 5024 N. Cimarron Road, submitted a three page outline and map regarding the weaknesses of the plan. He gave his overall support and urged the Committee and City to move forward with the plans, subject to wordsmithing or future amendment to address those needs and hardships contained in the outline. This is a tough issue for the City, equestrians and trail users. Absolutely key is a perimeter trail around the Summerlin area to allow equestrian access to the Kyle Canyon area. He strongly suggested that the definition of multi-use trails be reworked to include equestrian uses.

ED DODRILL, Southern Nevada Regional Trails Committee and President of Las Vegas Equestrian Events, 7795 N. Torrey Pines, suggested that trails not be abandoned until money is available. Keep a trail on the map and later it can be built when the funding is available. Certainly if New York, where he previously lived, could maintain a quality equestrian trail system, Las Vegas can do so as well. His next point was that signage needs to be addressed to advise motorists where there are crossings of thoroughfares. Insufficient signage creates the potential for accidents and should be addressed with the appropriate traffic people.

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, concurred with the comments by the two previous speakers. Denver also had a great trails system and Las Vegas has the opportunity at this stage of its development to obtain the necessary dedications for equestrian and multi-use trails. She recommended that trails not be paved, but be constructed of crushed gravel. She also did not want to hinder the plans presented today as they represent a good start, but the trails system needs to be pursued on a Valley-wide scale and in coordination with the other entities for the

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 15 – Bill No. 2001-142

MINUTES – Continued:

betterment of the entire community. She urged the Committee to maintain the trails as a top priority.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked MR. KNIGHT and MR. SCHMEISER for their efforts and all the speakers for their comments. He requested that the speakers submit recommendations in writing to his office. He is not an expert in this area and would greatly appreciate their suggestions on what is a critical issue for the Northwest. It is possible that the plans can become a standard for the Valley, Southern Nevada and perhaps even the State as they move forward. He outlined efforts to create rural street standards, with relaxed curb requirements, which are also a goal of the seamless master plan pursuant to the annexation interlocal agreement pending between the City and County. Even after the plans are adopted, it will be possible to amend the plans and add more links to the systems. However, that will require coordination with other entities. Lastly, there is potential funding from several sources for the equestrian park. A meeting is going to be set up with North Las Vegas and the County to discuss a master plan for that park, which would be a welcome addition to the Northwest.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:23 – 10:52)

1-675

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-144 – Annexation No. A-0045-99(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of Lone Mountain Road and Valdez Street; Petitioned By: Eagle Dancer, L.L.C.; Acreage: 16.31 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Lone Mountain Road and Valdez Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners' predecessor-in-interest. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: A single family subdivision has been developed on this site.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-144 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-144 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. **COUNCILMAN WEEKLY** concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 16 – Bill No. 2001-144

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED advised that this bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:52)

1-1938

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-146 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1469 – 4th Street (Washington Avenue to Adams Avenue) (\$50,771.11 – Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments) – Ward 5 (Weekly) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,771.11

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk, driveway approach and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-146

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2001-146 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. **COUNCILMAN WEEKLY** concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

City Attorney

Item 17 – Bill No. 2001-146

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED indicated that this bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(10:53)

1-1963



RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE AGENDA
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 31, 2001

ITEMS RAISED UNDER THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA CANNOT BE DELIBERATED OR ACTED UPON UNTIL THE NOTICE PROVISIONS OF THE OPEN MEETING LAW HAVE BEEN MET. IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK ON A MATTER NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA, PLEASE CLEARLY STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS. IN CONSIDERATION OF OTHERS, AVOID REPETITION, AND LIMIT YOUR COMMENTS TO NO MORE THAN THREE (3) MINUTES. TO ENSURE ALL PERSONS EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK, EACH SUBJECT MATTER WILL BE LIMITED TO TEN (10) MINUTES.

MINUTES:

AL GALLEGO, citizen of Las Vegas, recommended that a parcel number be included in every agenda item involving a piece of property so that interested parties can accurately identify the site.

(10:53)

1-1990

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 10:54 A.M. (1-2050)

Respectfully submitted: _____
VICKY DARLING
December 31, 2001